



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

GENENTECH, INC.  
1 DNA WAY  
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO CA 94080

**MAILED**

AUG 16 2011

PCT LEGAL ADMINISTRATION

In re Application of  
GODDARD et al.  
Application No.: 10/700,992 (now abandoned)  
Filed: November 03, 2003  
Attorney Docket No.: P3121R1C1  
For: NOVEL CYTOKINE RECEPTORS AND  
NUCLEIC ACIDS ENCODING THE  
SAME

**DECISION ON PETITION**

This decision issued in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a), filed May 24, 2011, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §120 for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed international application set forth in the amended specification filed concurrently with the instant petition.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is DISMISSED.

The present nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim herein for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed international application is submitted after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). Therefore, this is a proper petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3).

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The present petition fails to comply with item (1) above.

MPEP 201.11C. states, "Sometimes a pending application is one of a series of applications wherein the pending application is not copending with the first filed application but is copending with an intermediate application entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the first application. If applicant wishes that the pending application have the benefit of the filing date of the first filed application, applicant must, besides making reference to the intermediate application, also make reference to the first application. See Sticker Indus. Supply Corp. v. Blaw-Knox Co., 405 F.2d 90, 160 USPQ 177 (7th Cir. 1968) and Hovlid v. Asari, 305 F.2d 747, 134 USPQ 162 (9th Cir. 1962). The reference to the prior applications must identify all of the prior applications and indicate the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between each nonprovisional application in order to establish copendency throughout the entire chain of prior applications. Appropriate references must be made in each intermediate application in the chain of prior applications. If an applicant desires, for example, the following benefit claim: "this application is a continuation of Application No. C, filed ---, which is a continuation of Application No. B, filed ---, which claims the benefit of provisional Application No. A, filed ---," then Application No. C must have a reference to Application No. B and provisional Application No. A, and Application No. B must have a reference to provisional Application No. A."

Although an amendment was made in the present application adding a specific reference to 09/964,994 and international application no. PCT/US01/06666, the petition in the present case cannot be granted until application 09/964,994 is amended to include a proper reference to the PCT application (PCT/US01/06666).

Before the instant petition under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(3) can be granted, a petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be granted in application 09/964,994.

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Anthony Smith at (571) 272-3298.

Any further correspondence with respect to this matter may be filed electronically via EFS-Web selecting the document description "Petition for review and processing by the PCT Legal Office" or by mail addressed to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

  
Bryan Lin  
PCT Legal Examiner  
Office of PCT Legal Administration